

# MA 2101 : Analysis I

Satvik Saha, 19MS154, Group C

August 30, 2020

**Exercise 1** Show that for every real number  $r$ , there exists an integer such that  $n \leq r < n + 1$ .

**Solution** Suppose that there is no  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n \leq r < n + 1$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that the integers are unbounded below, so there exists some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m \leq r$ . By our assumption, we cannot have  $r < m + 1$ , so instead  $m + 1 \leq r$ . Let this be our base case.

Now, let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $m \leq k \leq r$ . Again,  $r < k + 1$  would contradict our assumption, so  $k + 1 \leq r$ . Thus, we have shown by induction that all integers  $n \geq m$  are bounded above by  $r$ . Additionally, the other integers  $n' < m < r$  anyways. Thus,  $n \leq r$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which is absurd since the integers are unbounded above. This proves the given statement.

**Exercise 2** Show that between any two rational numbers, there exists an irrational number.

**Solution** Without loss of generality, let  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $p > q$ . Note that  $2 > \sqrt{2} > 1$ , so  $0 < 1/\sqrt{2} < 1$  and  $0 < (p - q)/\sqrt{2} < p - q$ . Adding  $q$  to both sides,

$$q < q + \frac{p - q}{\sqrt{2}} < p.$$

Note that the irrationality of  $q + (p - q)/\sqrt{2}$  follows directly from the irrationality of  $\sqrt{2}$ .

**Exercise 3** Show that in a group, every element has a unique inverse.

**Solution** Let  $(G, *)$  be a group with identity  $e \in G$ , and let  $a \in G$  be arbitrary. Clearly,  $a$  must have an inverse in  $G$ . Suppose  $a', a'' \in G$  are two such inverses. Thus,

$$a' * a = e = a * a', \quad \text{and} \quad a'' * a = e = a * a''.$$

Now, we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} a' &= a' * e && \text{(Identity)} \\ &= a' * (a * a'') && \text{(Composition with inverse)} \\ &= (a' * a) * a'' && \text{(Associativity)} \\ &= e * a'' && \text{(Composition with inverse)} \\ &= a'' && \text{(Identity)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $a' = a''$  for all inverses of  $a$ . In other words, the inverse of  $a$  is unique.

**Exercise 4** Let  $T \subset \mathbb{R}$  be bounded and let  $S = \{|x - y| : x, y \in T\}$ . Show that  $\sup S = \sup T - \inf T$ .

**Solution** We assume that  $T$  is non-empty. Note that  $T$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , so  $\sup T$  and  $\inf T$  exist by the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Without loss of generality, let  $x, y \in T$  such that  $x \geq y$ . Then,  $|x - y| \leq x - y \leq \sup T - \inf T$ , since  $x \leq \sup T$  and  $y \geq \inf T$ <sup>1</sup>. Hence,  $S$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  bounded above, so  $\sup S$  exists. We claim that  $\sup S = \sup T - \inf T$ . Thus, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we must find  $s \in S$  such that  $\sup T - \inf T - \epsilon < s \leq \sup T - \inf T$ .

Now, from the properties of the supremum and infimum, we choose  $x', y' \in T$  such that  $\sup T - \epsilon/2 < x' \leq \sup T$  and  $\inf T \leq y' < \inf T + \epsilon/2$ . Thus,  $x' - y' > \sup T - \inf T - \epsilon$ . Thus, without loss of generality<sup>2</sup>, we have  $s = |x' - y'| \in S$  and  $\sup T - \inf T - \epsilon < s \leq \sup T - \inf T$ . Thus,  $\sup T - \inf T$  is indeed the least upper bound of  $S$ , and is thus equal to its supremum.

<sup>1</sup>The analogous case with  $x < y$  shows that  $|x - y| = -x + y \leq -\inf T + \sup T$ .

<sup>2</sup>If  $y' > x'$ , we can simply swap the roles of  $x'$  and  $y'$ , since  $\sup T - \epsilon/2 < x' < y' \leq \sup T$  and  $\inf T \leq x' < y' < \inf T + \epsilon/2$ .

**Exercise 5** Find the supremum and infimum of the set  $S = \{m/(m+n) : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

**Solution** We claim that  $\inf S = 0$  and  $\sup S = 1$ . First, note that

$$0 < \frac{m}{m+n} < \frac{m}{m} = 1,$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $S$  is bounded, so its supremum and infimum exist by the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also, we must have  $\sup S \leq 1$  and  $\inf S \geq 0$ . We must now show that for any upper bound  $1 > \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and for any lower bound  $0 < \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  of  $S$ , there exist  $x, y \in S$  such that

$$0 < x < \beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha < y < 1.$$

Clearly,  $1/2 = 1/(1+1) \in S$ , so  $\beta < 1/2 < 1$  and  $\alpha > 1/2 > 0$ .

On the other hand, the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$  are dense in the reals, so between any two real numbers, there exists a rational number  $p/q$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ . Thus, we find rationals  $0 < a/b < \beta < 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < c/d < 1$ , so  $0 < a < b$  and  $0 < c < d$  for  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $0 < b-a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < d-c \in \mathbb{N}$ . We thus set

$$x = \frac{a}{a+(b-a)} \in S, \quad y = \frac{c}{c+(d-c)} \in S,$$

completing the proof.