MA 1101 : Mathematics I

Satvik Saha, 19MS154 October 27, 2019

Solution 1.

- (i) Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a finite set with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ elements. We claim that *S* has no limit points. We enumerate the elements of *S* as x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
	- (a) If $a \notin S$, let us choose $|x_i a| > \epsilon_i > 0$, for all $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. We set $A_i = (a \epsilon_i, a + \epsilon_i)$ to be the ϵ_i neighbourhood of a. If $x_i > a$, we have $x_i = a + (x_i - a) > a + \epsilon_i$, and if $x_i < a$, we have $x_i = a - (a - x_i) < a - \epsilon_i$. Thus, $x_i \notin A_i$.

We set $A = \bigcap A_i$. Since A is the intersection of a finite number of open intervals, A is also an open interval.

Thus, $x_i \notin A$ for all $x_i \in S$, i.e. $S \cap A = \emptyset$. Thus, there is no $x \in S$ within the $\epsilon = \min \epsilon_i > 0$ neighbourhood of *a*. Hence, *a* is not a limit point.

(b) If $a \in S$, without loss of generality, we set $a = x_1$. We again choose $|x_i - a| > \epsilon_i > 0$, for all $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$. We set $A_i = (a - \epsilon_i, a + \epsilon_i)$ to be the ϵ_i neighbourhood of *a*. Clearly, $a = x_1 \in A_1$. Arguing as before, $x_i \notin A_i$ for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$. We set $A = \bigcap A_i$. Thus, $a = x_1 \in A$ and $x_i \notin A$ for $i \neq 1$, i.e. $S \cap A = \{a\}$ Thus, the only $x \in S$ within the $\epsilon = \min \epsilon_i$ neighbourhood of *a* is *a*. Hence, *a* is not a limit point.

Therefore, any finite set *S* has no limit points.

- (ii) Let $S = (0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We claim that $[0, \infty)$ is the set of all limit points of *S*. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
	- (a) If $a \in [0, \infty)$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Thus, $a \geq 0 \Rightarrow a + \epsilon/2 > 0$, and $a \epsilon < a + \epsilon/2 < a + \epsilon$. Hence, we have found $x = a + \epsilon/2 \in S$ such that $x \in (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ and $x \neq a$. Thus, *a* is a limit point.
	- (b) If $a \notin [0, \infty)$, i.e. $a < 0$, we choose $\epsilon = -a$. Hence, $(a \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \cap S = (2a, 0) \cap (0, \infty) = \emptyset$. Thus, *a* is not a limit point.

This proves our claim.

- (iii) Let $S = [1, 2) \cup \{3\}$. We claim that [1, 2] is the set of all limit points of *S*. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
	- (a) If $a \in [1,2)$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We set $\epsilon' = \min{\{\epsilon, a-1, 2-a\}}$, and $x = a + \epsilon'/2$. Thus, *x* > *a* ≥ 1 and *x* < *a* + ϵ' ≤ *a* + (2 − *a*) = 2. Also, $-\epsilon$ < $\epsilon'/2$ < ϵ . Hence, we have found *x* ∈ (1, 2) ⊂ *S* such that $x \in (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ and $x \neq a$. Thus, *a* is a limit point.
	- (b) If $a \in \{2\}$, i.e. $a = 2$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We set $\epsilon' = \min\{\epsilon, 1\}$, and $x = a \epsilon'/2$. Thus, *x* > $a - \epsilon' \ge a - 1 = 1$ and $x < a = 2$. Also, $-\epsilon < -\epsilon'/2 < \epsilon$. Hence, we have found *x* ∈ (1, 2) ⊂ *S* such that $x \in (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ and $x \neq a$. Thus, *a* is a limit point.
	- (c) If $a \in \{3\}$, i.e. $a = 3$, we choose $\epsilon = 1/2 > 0$. Hence, $(a-\epsilon, a+\epsilon) \cap S = (2.5, 3.5) \cap (\lceil 1, 2) \cup \{3\}$ ${3}$. Hence, $x \in S$ and $x \in (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ forces $x = a$. Thus, *a* is not a limit point.
	- (d) If $a < 1$, we choose $\epsilon = 1 a$. Hence, $(a \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \cap S = (2a 1, 1) \cap ([1, 2) \cup \{3\}) = \emptyset$. Thus, *a* is not a limit point.
	- (e) If $2 < a < 3$, we choose $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \min\{a 2, 3 a\}$. Thus, $a \epsilon > a 2\epsilon \ge a (a 2) = 2$ and *a*+*∈* $\lt a + 2\epsilon \leq a + (3 - a) = 3$. Therefore, $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \subset (2, 3)$. Hence, $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \cap S = ∅$. Thus, *a* is not a limit point.
	- (f) If $a > 3$, we choose $\epsilon = a 3$. Hence, $(a \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \cap S = (3, 2a 3) \cap S = \emptyset$. Thus, *a* is not a limit point.

This proves our claim.

 \Box

 \Box

⁽iv) Let $S = [1, 2) \cup (2, 3)$. We claim that [1,3] is the set of all limit points of *S*. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) If $a \in (1,3)$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We set $\epsilon' = \min{\epsilon, a-1, 3-a}$, and $x_- = a - \epsilon'/2$, $x^+ = a + \epsilon'/2$. Thus,

$$
x_{-} > a - \epsilon' \ge a - (a - 1) = 1,
$$

\n
$$
x_{-} < a \le 3,
$$

\n
$$
x_{+} > a \ge 1,
$$

\n
$$
x_{+} < a + \epsilon' \le a + (3 - a) = 3.
$$

Thus, $x_-, x_+ \in (1,3)$. Since $x_- < x_+$, at least one of them is $x \neq 2$. Also, $-\epsilon < -\epsilon'/2$ $\epsilon'/2 < \epsilon$. Hence, we have found $x \in (1,3) \setminus \{2\} \subset S$ such that $x \in (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ and $x \neq a$. Thus, *a* is a limit point.

- (b) If $a \in \{1\}$, i.e. $a = 1$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We set $\epsilon' = \min\{\epsilon, 1\}$, and $x = a + \epsilon'/2$. Thus, $x > a = 1$ and $x < a + \epsilon' \le a + 1 = 2$. Also, $-\epsilon < \epsilon'/2 < \epsilon$. Hence, we have found *x* ∈ (1, 2) ⊂ *S* such that $x \in (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ and $x \neq a$. Thus, *a* is a limit point.
- (c) If $a \in \{3\}$, i.e. $a = 3$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We set $\epsilon' = \min\{\epsilon, 1\}$, and $x = a \epsilon'/2$. Thus, *x* > $a - \epsilon' \ge a - 1 = 1$ and $x < a = 2$. Also, $-\epsilon < -\epsilon'/2 < \epsilon$. Hence, we have found *x* ∈ (2, 3) ⊂ *S* such that $x \in (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ and $x \neq a$. Thus, *a* is a limit point.
- (d) If $a < 1$, we choose $\epsilon = 1 a$. Hence, $(a \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \cap S = (2a 1, 1) \cap S = \emptyset$. Thus, *a* is not a limit point.
- (e) If $a > 3$, we choose $\epsilon = a 3$. Hence, $(a \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \cap S = (3, 2a 3) \cap S = \emptyset$. Thus, *a* is not a limit point.

This proves our claim.

- (v) Let $S = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We claim that 0 is the only limit point of *S*. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
	- (a) If $a = 0$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. By the *Archimedean Property* of the reals, we choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n\epsilon > 1$. Thus, $\frac{1}{n} \in S$ and $\frac{1}{n} \in (0 - \epsilon, 0 + \epsilon)$. Thus, 0 is a limit point.
	- (b) If $a \ge 1$, we choose $\epsilon = a 1$. Thus, $(a \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \cap S = (1, 2a 1) \cap S = \emptyset$, since $S \subset (0, 1]$. Thus, *a* is not a limit point.
	- (c) If $a \in S \setminus \{1\}$, we find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a = \frac{1}{n}$. We choose $\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n+1} > \epsilon > 0$. Thus, $a \epsilon > \frac{1}{n+1}$
and $a + \epsilon = \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n-1}$, since $n^2 1 < n^2$. Hence, $S \cap (a \epsilon, a + \epsilon) = \{a\}$. Thus not a limit point of *S*.
	- (d) If $a \in (0,1] \setminus S$, we find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n+1} < a < \frac{1}{n}$. We choose $\min\{\frac{1}{n}-a, a-\frac{1}{n+1}\} > \epsilon > 0$. Thus, $a - \epsilon > a - (a - \frac{1}{n+1}) = \frac{1}{n+1}$ and $a + \epsilon < a + (\frac{1}{n} - a) = \frac{1}{n}$. Hence, $S \cap (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) = \emptyset$. Thus, *a* is not a limit point.
	- (e) If $a < 0$, we choose $\epsilon = -a$. Hence, $S \cap (a \epsilon, a + \epsilon) = S \cap (2a, 0) = \emptyset$.

Thus proves our claim.

- (vi) Let $S = \{\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We claim that $\{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the set of all limit points of *S*. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}, S' = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
	- (a) If $a = 0$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n\epsilon > 2$. Thus, $\frac{2}{n} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \in S$ and $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \in (0 - \epsilon, 0 + \epsilon)$. Thus, 0 is a limit point.
	- (b) If $a \in S'$, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a = \frac{1}{n}$. We choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k\epsilon > 1$. Thus, $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{k} \in S$ and $a < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{k} < \frac{1}{n} + \epsilon$, so $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{k} \in (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$. Thus, a is a limit point.
	- (c) If $a \notin S', a > 0$, we choose an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $S' \cap (a \epsilon, a + \epsilon) = \emptyset$. We can do so since *a* is not a limit point of *S'*. Also, minimize ϵ such that $a - \epsilon > 0$. Consider the elements $x = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \in S \cap (a - \epsilon/2, a + \epsilon/2)$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality, let $m \leq n$. Thus,

$$
a-\frac{\epsilon}{2}<\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}
$$

Since $(a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon)$ has no element of the from $\frac{1}{k}$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\frac{1}{n} \le \frac{1}{m} \le a - \epsilon
$$

 \Box

Also,

$$
a - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} \le \frac{2}{m}
$$

Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{m} > \frac{1}{2}(a-\frac{\epsilon}{2})
$$

This means that there are only a finite number of *m*. Also,

$$
a - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{n} + a - \epsilon
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{n} > \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$

Thus, there are only a finite number of *n*. This means that there are a finite number of *x*. Hence, $S \cap (a - \epsilon/2, a + \epsilon/2)$ is a finite set. Hence, *a* is not a limit point.

(d) If $a < 0$, we choose $\epsilon = -a$. Hence, $S \cap (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) = S \cap (2a, 0) = \emptyset$.

This proves our claim.

Solution 2. Note that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, *x* is trivially a limit point of R, since every $\epsilon > 0$ neighbourhood of R contains infinitely many real numbers other than *x*. In addition, removing a finite number of points from $\mathbb R$ means that x is still a limit point of $\mathbb R$.

(i) We have $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) := \lfloor x \rfloor$. We claim that $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x)$ does not exist. Suppose not, i.e. $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = L$. We find δ such that

$$
0 < |x - 0| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \frac{1}{4}
$$

We choose $0 < x_0 < \min\{1, \delta\}$. Thus, $f(x_0) - f(-x_0) = 1$. Now,

$$
1 = |f(x_0) - f(-x_0)|
$$

= |(f(x_0) - L) - (f(-x_0) - L)|

$$
\leq |f(x_0) - L| + |f(-x_0) - L|
$$

$$
< \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{2}
$$

This is a contradiction, thus proving our claim.

- (ii) We have $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) := \lfloor x \rfloor \lfloor x/3 \rfloor$. We claim that $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We set $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $0 < |x - 0| < \delta$, we have $||x| - |x/3| - 0| = 0 < \epsilon$ This proves our claim. \Box
- (iii) We have $f: \mathbb{R} \setminus \{2\} \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) = \frac{x^3 8}{x 2}$. We claim that $\lim_{x \to 2} f(x) = 12$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We set $\delta = \min\{1, \epsilon/7\}.$

Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{2\}$ satisfying $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left| \frac{x^3 - 8}{x - 2} - 12 \right| &= \left| x^2 + 2x + 4 - 12 \right| \\
&= \left| x^2 + 2x - 8 \right| \\
&= \left| (x - 2)(x + 4) \right| \\
&= \left| x - 2 \right| \left| x - 2 + 6 \right| \\
&\leq \left| x - 2 \right| \left(\left| x - 2 \right| + 6 \right) \\
&< \delta(\delta + 6) \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{7} (1 + 6) \\
&= \epsilon\n\end{aligned}
$$

This proves our claim.

 \Box

 \Box

- (iv) We have $f: \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) := x \sin \frac{1}{x}$. We claim that $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We set $\delta = \epsilon$. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $0 < |x - 0| < \delta$, we have $|x \sin \frac{1}{x}| \leq |x| < \epsilon$ This proves our claim.
- (v) We have $f: \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) := x/|x|$. We claim that $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x)$ does not exist. Suppose not, i.e. $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = L$. We find δ such that

$$
0 < |x - 0| < \delta \implies |f(x) - L| < \frac{1}{2}
$$

Note that $f(x) - f(-x) = 2$. Thus,

$$
2 = |f(\delta/2) - f(-\delta/2)|
$$

= |(f(\delta/2) - L) - (f(-\delta/2) - L)|

$$
\leq |f(\delta/2) - L| + |f(-\delta/2) - L|
$$

$$
< \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}
$$

= 1

This is a contradiction, thus proving our claim.

Solution 3. Let $\emptyset \neq D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $f, g \colon D \to R$ and let a be a limit point of D. Let $\lim_{x\to a}$ and $\lim_{x\to a} g(x)$ exist. We write

$$
\lim_{x \to a} f(x) := L, \qquad \lim_{x \to a} g(x) := M.
$$

(i) We claim that $\lim_{x\to a} (f(x) + g(x)) = L + M$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We find δ_f , δ_g such that for all $x \in D$,

$$
0 < |x - a| < \delta_f \implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon/2,
$$

$$
0 < |x - a| < \delta_g \implies |g(x) - M| < \epsilon/2.
$$

We set $\delta = \min{\delta_f, \delta_g}$. Then, for all $x \in D$ satisfying $0 < |x - a| < \delta$, we have

$$
|(f(x) + g(x)) - (L + M)| = |(f(x) - L) + (g(x) - M)|
$$

\n
$$
\leq |f(x) - L| + |g(x) - M|
$$

\n
$$
< \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2
$$

\n
$$
= \epsilon
$$

This proves our claim.

(ii) We claim that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{x \to a} (\alpha f(x)) = \alpha L$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. If $\alpha \neq 0$, we find δ_f such that for all $x \in D$,

$$
0 < |x - a| < \delta_f \implies |f(x) - L| < \epsilon / |\alpha|.
$$

We set $\delta = \delta_f$. Then, for all $x \in D$ satisfying $0 < |x - a| < \delta$, we have

$$
|\alpha f(x) - \alpha L| = |\alpha||f(x) - L|
$$

$$
< |\alpha| \frac{\epsilon}{|\alpha|}
$$

$$
= \epsilon
$$

If $\alpha = 0$, we trivially have

$$
0 < |x - a| < \delta = \epsilon \implies |\alpha f(x) - \alpha L| = 0 < \epsilon.
$$

This proves our claim.

 \Box

 \Box

(iii) We claim that $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)g(x) = LM$. To prove this, we first show that $\lim_{x\to a} (f(x)-L)(g(x) - L)$ M) = 0.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We find δ_f, δ_g such that for all $x \in D$,

$$
0 < |x - a| < \delta_f \implies |f(x) - L| < \sqrt{\epsilon},
$$
\n
$$
0 < |x - a| < \delta_g \implies |g(x) - M| < \sqrt{\epsilon}.
$$

We set $\delta = \min{\delta_f, \delta_g}$. Then, for all $x \in D$ satisfying $0 < |x - a| < \delta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned} |(f(x) - L)(g(x) - M) - 0| &= |f(x) - L||g(x) - M| \\ &< \sqrt{\epsilon}\sqrt{\epsilon} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\lim_{x \to a} (f(x) - L)(g(x) - M) = 0.$

We now show that for a constant function $h: D \to \mathbb{R}$, $h(x) = k$, we have $\lim_{x \to a} h(x) = k$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We set $\delta = \epsilon$. Then, for all $x \in D$ satisfying $0 < |x - a| < \delta$, we have $|h(x) - k| = 0 < \epsilon$.

Therefore,

$$
0 = \lim_{x \to a} (f(x) - L)(g(x) - M)
$$

= $\lim_{x \to a} (f(x)g(x) - Lg(x) - Mf(x) + LM)$
= $\lim_{x \to a} f(x)g(x) - \lim_{x \to a} Lg(x) - \lim_{x \to a} Mf(x) + \lim_{x \to a} LM$
= $\lim_{x \to a} f(x)g(x) - L \lim_{x \to a} g(x) - M \lim_{x \to a} f(x) + LM$
= $\lim_{x \to a} f(x)g(x) - LM - ML + LM$
= $\lim_{x \to a} f(x)g(x) - LM$

$$
\lim_{x \to a} f(x)g(x) = LM
$$

 \Box

(iv) We claim that if $M \neq 0$, $\lim_{x\to a} f(x)/g(x) = L/M$. To prove this, we first show that $\lim_{x\to a} 1/g(x) =$ 1*/M*.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We find δ_1, δ_2 such that for all $x \in D$,

$$
0 < |x - a| < \delta_1 \implies |g(x) - M| < \frac{1}{2}|M|,
$$

$$
0 < |x - a| < \delta_2 \implies |g(x) - M| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon|M|^2.
$$

We set $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then, for all $x \in D$ satisfying $0 < |x - a| < \delta$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}|M| > |g(x) - M|
$$
\n
$$
\geq ||g(x)| - |M||
$$
\n
$$
\geq |M| - |g(x)|
$$
\n
$$
|g(x)| > \frac{1}{2}|M| > 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{|g(x)|} < \frac{2}{|M|}
$$
\n
$$
\left|\frac{1}{g(x)} - \frac{1}{M}\right| = \frac{|g(x) - M|}{|Mg(x)|}
$$
\n
$$
= |g(x) - M| \frac{1}{|M||g(x)|}
$$
\n
$$
< \frac{1}{2} \epsilon |M|^2 \frac{2}{|M|^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \epsilon
$$

Thus, $\lim_{x\to a} 1/g(x) = 1/M$. Therefore,

$$
\lim_{x \to a} f(x)g(x) = \lim_{x \to a} f(x) \frac{1}{g(x)}
$$

$$
= \lim_{x \to a} f(x) \lim_{x \to a} \frac{1}{g(x)}
$$

$$
= \frac{L}{M}
$$

